If you are in the middle of a workers' compensation claim, few appointments cause more anxiety than the IME. People hear the phrase "independent medical examination" and assume it is simply another doctor visit. It is not. Your Workers Compensation Lawyer wants you to understand that an IME sits at the intersection of medicine, insurance, and litigation. What happens there can shape treatment approvals, disability benefits, work restrictions, and sometimes the overall value of the claim.

The problem starts with the word "independent." In practice, many injured workers walk into these exams expecting a neutral second opinion. Sometimes the physician does try to be fair and careful. Sometimes the report is balanced. But the reality is that the exam is often requested by the insurance carrier or employer when there is a dispute to resolve. The physician is usually being paid for an opinion, not retained to treat you. That difference matters.

I have seen cases turn on a few lines in an IME report. A worker with a shoulder tear says he cannot lift overhead without sharp pain. The treating orthopedic surgeon agrees and keeps him on modified duty. Then an IME doctor spends fifteen minutes with him, writes that he has "near full range of motion," and suddenly temporary benefits are challenged. In another case, a nurse with a back injury had excellent treatment records for months, but one poorly handled IME gave the insurer enough ammunition to argue that her ongoing symptoms were due to "pre-existing degeneration." It took depositions and another specialist to correct the record.

That is why preparation matters, and why context matters even more.

What an IME really is

An IME is typically a one-time evaluation by a physician who is not your treating doctor. The insurer, employer, or defense attorney usually asks for it when there is a question about diagnosis, causation, work ability, need for treatment, permanency, or maximum medical improvement. Depending on the state, the exact rules vary. The name varies too. Some jurisdictions call it an independent medical examination, others refer to a qualified medical evaluation, defense medical exam, or compulsory medical exam in related proceedings.

What does not usually vary is the purpose. The exam is designed to generate an opinion that can be used in the claim. That opinion may address whether your injury happened at work, whether current symptoms are connected to the accident, whether a surgery is reasonable, whether you can return to work, or whether you have any lasting impairment.

This is where expectations matter. The IME doctor is usually not there to treat you, prescribe medication, or build a long-term relationship. You may receive no practical help from the visit itself. Instead, you are being assessed. Every statement, every description of pain, every account of your daily activity can end up summarized in a report.

A good Workers Compensation Lawyer will tell clients **Visit this site** the same thing in plain language: treat the IME as an important legal-medical event, not a routine appointment.

Why insurers ask for IMEs

Insurers do not order IMEs for no reason. They usually want support for a position they are already considering. That does not automatically mean the exam is unfair, but it does mean the exam exists because something is contested or potentially contested.

Common flashpoints include delayed recovery, expensive treatment recommendations, surgeries, pain management, permanent restrictions, psychological overlays, and claims involving older workers with prior injuries or imaging that shows age-related changes. If your MRI shows both a new herniation and some degenerative findings, the IME may focus heavily on sorting out which problem, in the doctor's view, is actually work-related. If your treating physician keeps you out of work for months, the IME may be aimed at testing whether you could return in some capacity.

Insurance carriers also use IMEs to create leverage. A favorable IME can justify terminating temporary disability checks, denying a requested procedure, narrowing accepted body parts, or pushing for settlement from a stronger position. Even when the report does not end the case, it can shift the pressure.

That is not a reason to panic. It is a reason to be disciplined.

The biggest misunderstanding injured workers have

Many injured workers believe that if they simply tell the truth, the process will sort itself out. Telling the truth is essential, but truth alone does not guarantee a fair outcome. Workers' compensation is not just about whether you are honest. It is also about how clearly the medical record reflects mechanism of injury, symptom progression, functional limits, prior health issues, and objective findings.

Take a warehouse worker who injured his knee stepping off a forklift. If he tells the IME doctor, "It hurts all the time," that may be true, but it is incomplete. A more accurate and useful explanation might be that pain spikes when using stairs, kneeling, pivoting, or standing more than twenty minutes, and that swelling worsens by evening after light activity. Those details matter because they connect symptoms to function.

Another common mistake is guessing. If you do not remember the exact date of a prior injury, say so. If you are unsure whether your left hand numbness started two weeks or a month after the accident, explain the uncertainty instead of locking yourself into a guess. An IME report often highlights inconsistencies, and small errors can be portrayed as credibility problems even when they are ordinary memory lapses.

What the doctor is looking for, besides the obvious

The IME doctor will review records, ask questions, and perform some type of physical examination. Beyond diagnosis, the doctor is often evaluating consistency. Do your reported symptoms line up with imaging, treatment history, physical findings, and observed behavior? Are your restrictions medically supported? Is there evidence of symptom magnification, underreporting, or unrelated conditions?

This is where people get tripped up. They think only the hands-on exam counts. It does not. Observation begins before the formal exam. I have seen reports note how a patient sat in the waiting room, whether the person used a cane continuously, how they got on and off the exam table, and whether they appeared comfortable when distracted. A person with a legitimate injury can still be unfairly judged from these snippets, which is why consistency matters.

Doctors also look for clues about causation. If you had a low back injury at work but your records show years of chiropractic treatment, the IME doctor may focus hard on that history. That does not mean you lose. Plenty of people with pre-existing conditions suffer real work aggravations that are fully compensable. But the way that history is explained becomes critical. "I had occasional stiffness before, but after lifting the patient I developed constant leg pain and numbness that never existed before," is different from simply saying, "My back has always bothered me."

How to prepare without sounding rehearsed

The best preparation is not memorization. It is organization.

Before the exam, refresh your memory on the basic timeline. Know when the injury happened, how it happened, what body parts were affected, where you first treated, what major tests were done, and what treatment you have received. Know your current medications and restrictions if you have them. If you have returned to light duty, be able to explain what you can and cannot do. If a task at work increases your symptoms, describe that specifically.

What you do not want is a polished speech. IME doctors can sense when someone sounds coached, and a stiff, overprepared answer can hurt you as much as a vague one. The goal is simple, accurate, and concrete.

A short personal example helps illustrate the point. A machinist I once spoke with was convinced he needed to "sound medical" to be taken seriously. He planned to tell the examiner he experienced "severe lumbosacral radiculopathy with episodic functional impairment." That was not how he actually talked, and it was not how his treatment records described his day-to-day struggles. We stripped it back. He explained that pain shot from his low back into his right leg when he stood at the lathe too long, and that his foot sometimes felt weak on stairs. That description was more believable and more useful.

The questions that matter most

Most IME exams follow a familiar pattern, even if the style varies. The doctor will usually ask how the injury occurred, what symptoms you had at the start, how those symptoms changed over time, what treatment helped, what still hurts, whether you had similar problems before, and what you are able to do now.

A few areas deserve special care. Prior injuries are one. Daily activities are another. Medication use, hobbies, and side jobs may also come up. None of these subjects are trivial. If you say you cannot lift more than ten pounds but mention spending the weekend doing home renovations, the report may seize on that. If you say you never had neck pain before, but old records show treatment after a car accident, that discrepancy may overshadow the rest of your presentation.

This does not mean you should hide normal life activity. It means you should give honest context. Maybe you attended your son's game, but had to stand only briefly and spent most of the time sitting with ice on your knee later. Maybe you did try to mow the lawn and paid for it with two days of increased back spasm. Those details matter because they show limitation instead of creating a false picture of effortless function.

A few rules that prevent avoidable damage

The simplest advice is often the most valuable:

1. Be honest, but be specific.
2. Do not exaggerate, and do not minimize.
3. Answer the question asked, then stop.
4. Do not guess when you are unsure.
5. Describe limitations in real-life terms.

That last point is often where credibility is won. Saying "I have pain" is less useful than saying "I can sit about thirty minutes before I need to stand, and reaching above shoulder height causes a sharp catch."

What not to do during the exam

People under stress often overtalk. They fill silence. They drift into arguments about the insurance company, complain about every unfair thing that has happened, or try to persuade the doctor that they are a good person. None of that helps. The IME doctor is there to gather information and form opinions, not to referee the entire history of your claim.

Hostility also backfires. Even when an exam feels unfair, arguing with the doctor rarely improves the outcome. If a question seems inaccurate, correct it calmly. If the doctor says, "So your knee is basically back to normal?" And that is wrong, the better answer is, "No, it still swells daily and I cannot squat or climb normally." Clean correction beats emotional confrontation.

There is also the issue of pain behavior. Some workers think they must demonstrate every bit of discomfort dramatically or the doctor will not believe them. Others act stoic and deny pain until the exam notes suggest they are doing fine. Neither extreme helps. A balanced, accurate presentation carries more weight. If a movement hurts, say so. If you could technically do it once but would pay for it later, explain that.

One more caution deserves mention. Surveillance is not always present, but it exists in some claims. Social media posts exist too. If the IME doctor reads that you are unable to walk more than one block, and the insurer later produces photos of a full day at a festival, questions will follow. Context may explain it, but preventable contradictions create expensive problems.

When the IME report comes back against you

A bad IME report can feel like the ground shifted overnight. Benefits may be reduced or suspended. A surgery may be denied. Light-duty restrictions may be lifted. The insurer may suddenly insist you reached maximum medical improvement long before your treating doctor agrees.

This is where strategy matters more than emotion. A strong Workers Compensation Lawyer does not merely complain that the report is unfair. The lawyer compares it line by line against the treatment record, imaging, prior exams, job description, witness statements, and applicable legal standard. Sometimes the defense doctor omitted important records. Sometimes the doctor misstated your history. Sometimes the opinion sounds definitive but rests on a weak assumption, such as confusing pre-existing degeneration with symptomatic disability, or assuming a delayed report means no injury happened.

I have seen IME opinions crumble under close review. In one file, the doctor claimed the worker had "no objective evidence" of ongoing wrist injury despite an EMG that had already shown median nerve involvement. In another, the doctor declared the claimant able to return to full duty but clearly did not understand that the job required repeated lifting of fifty-pound feed bags for a ten-hour shift. A medical opinion disconnected from actual job demands is often less persuasive than it first appears.

Your lawyer may respond in several ways, depending on the jurisdiction and posture of the case. The answer might be to send your treating doctor the IME for rebuttal, schedule deposition testimony, seek another authorized evaluation, challenge the factual basis of the report at a hearing, or negotiate from a different angle if the dispute is narrower than it seems. The key is not to assume the IME is the final word. Often it is just the opening shot in a medical dispute.

Pre-existing conditions do not automatically defeat a claim

This point deserves special attention because insurers and IME physicians often focus on it. Many injured workers are not starting from a blank slate. They have old back strains, arthritic knees, prior surgeries, degenerative discs,

or occasional flare-ups before the work injury. That is common, especially in physically demanding jobs and among older workers.

A pre-existing condition does not automatically bar benefits. In many states, if work aggravated, accelerated, or combined with an underlying condition to produce disability or need for treatment, the claim may still be compensable. The medical question becomes whether the work event changed your condition in a meaningful way.

That is why the before-and-after picture matters so much. If you had mild intermittent shoulder soreness before but could perform all duties, and after a lifting incident you cannot sleep on that side, cannot reach overhead, and MRI shows a new tear, the existence of prior wear-and-tear does not erase the work injury. Good records make that distinction visible. Poor records let the IME doctor blur it.

Maximum medical improvement and why IMEs often focus on it

One of the most important labels in workers' compensation is maximum medical improvement, often called MMI. It does not necessarily mean you are fully healed. It usually means your condition has stabilized to the point where further significant improvement is not expected, at least under current treatment.

Why does that matter? Because once MMI is declared, temporary benefits may change or stop, permanent impairment may be assessed, and settlement conversations often intensify. Insurance carriers frequently use IMEs to argue that MMI has already been reached.

Sometimes that opinion is reasonable. A fracture heals, physical therapy is completed, and functional improvement levels off. But sometimes MMI is declared too early, before a recommended surgery, before pain management has been tried, or before a specialist has addressed persistent symptoms. If your treating physician believes additional treatment is likely to improve function, an IME opinion on MMI should be tested carefully.

The issue of work restrictions

Restrictions are not abstract. They determine whether an employer has to offer modified duty, whether you can safely return, and whether a wage loss continues. IME doctors often weigh in on how much you can lift, how long you can sit or stand, whether you can bend, kneel, reach, drive, or climb.

The problem is that generic restrictions do not always match real jobs. "Light duty" sounds straightforward until you look at the actual position. A nurse may still need to reposition patients. A delivery driver may need to climb in and out of a truck dozens of times. An assembly worker may face repetitive shoulder-level work that a brief office-style exam did not capture.

This is one reason your lawyer may ask detailed questions about your actual duties. Job descriptions matter. So do unofficial realities. Many workplaces call a position "light duty" that remains physically demanding in practice. If the IME doctor's opinion is built on a sanitized version of the job, the restriction analysis may be weak.

If you are allowed to record or bring someone

Rules vary by state, by agency, and sometimes by the type of exam. Some jurisdictions allow an observer, interpreter, nurse case manager, or recording under certain conditions. Others are stricter. You should never assume. Ask your Workers Compensation Lawyer ahead of time what is permitted and what is strategically wise.

An observer can be helpful if there is concern about how the exam will be described later, but it is not always the right move. In some settings, it may increase tension or prompt objections. A recording can preserve exactly what

was said, but only if lawful and handled properly. This is a place where state-specific advice matters more than generic internet guidance.

After the appointment, what you should do next

Do not wait until the report arrives to preserve your memory of the exam. As soon as practical, write down what happened. Note when you arrived, how long you waited, how long the exam lasted, what testing was performed, what history the doctor took, whether important symptoms were discussed, and anything unusual. If the doctor barely examined the injured body part or misstated your history during the visit, make a note while it is fresh.

A brief post-exam record can become surprisingly useful later, especially if the written report overstates the thoroughness of the exam or leaves out key exchanges. Memory fades quickly. Details that are obvious that afternoon may become fuzzy a month later when your lawyer is preparing a response.

If your symptoms flare after the exam, tell your treating doctor. If the IME doctor performed maneuvers that caused significant pain, that should be reflected in the ongoing medical record.

The larger truth about IMEs

The IME process frustrates people because it can feel one-sided. You are asked to prove a lived physical reality in a setting built for skepticism. A doctor who has never treated you may write a report that affects your paycheck, your medical care, and your future at work. That tension is built into the system.

Still, injured workers are not powerless. The strongest claims usually share a few qualities: prompt reporting, consistent treatment, credible symptom descriptions, realistic activity reports, and careful legal guidance. The IME is important, but it *Workers Compensation Lawyer* is only one piece of the larger record. A well-prepared claimant with solid treating support can withstand a defense-friendly exam far better than someone who treats the process casually.

Your Workers Compensation Lawyer wants you to walk into the IME with open eyes. Not fearful, not combative, and not naive. Just prepared. That mindset alone prevents many of the avoidable mistakes that give an insurer unnecessary leverage. When the exam is handled correctly, you preserve credibility, protect the record, and give your side the best chance to answer whatever opinion comes back.

Law Offices of Miguel Martínez, P.C.
Address: 1776 Vine St, Denver, CO 80206

Phone number: +13037475141

FAQ About Workers Compensation Lawyer

What not to say to a workers' comp attorney?

Never lie, hide facts, or omit prior injuries when speaking to your workers' comp attorney. Total honesty about your medical history, the accident details, and your activities is critical, because any inconsistencies can ruin your case credibility with the insurance company or judge.

What are the odds of winning a workers' comp case?

Most initial workers' compensation claims are approved without a formal trial. Nationally, only about 5% to 10% of claims are flatly denied. For cases that do face a formal dispute, hearing, or trial, the odds of winning generally hover around 50% or vary by state, depending heavily on legal representation and medical evidence.

When should you get a workers' comp lawyer?

You should hire a workers' comp lawyer if your claim is denied, your benefits are delayed, your injury requires surgery or causes permanent disability, or your employer pushes you to return to work too early or retaliates. You generally do not need a lawyer for minor injuries with smooth, undisputed processing.